

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

MAR 10 2008 agur MOC 10, 2008
MICHAEL W. DOBBINS
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America ex rel.	
WILLIAM F. SIMPSON JR (Full name and prison number) (Include name under which convicted)	08CV 1414 JUDGE CASTILLO MAGISTRATE JUDGE BROWN
PETITIONER)	(Supplied by Clerk of this Court)
vs.	
WARDEN HULICK (Warden, Superintendent, or authorized person having custody of petitioner)	
RESPONDENT, and	
(Fill in the following blank <u>only</u> if judgment attacked imposes a sentence to commence in the future)	
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF	Case Number of State Court Conviction: 92-CF-876
ILLINOIS (State where judgment entered)) <u>%XXXXXXX</u>)
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CO	ORPUS - PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY
1. Name and location of court where conviction entered	d: WILL COUNTY COURT HOUSE
14, West Jefferson Street, Joliet, Illiois	s 60432
2. Date of judgment of conviction: October 28, 19	93
3. Offense(s) of which petitioner was convicted (list a	ll counts with indictment numbers, if known)
Felony- Murder by way of Information	
5. What was your plea? (Check one) (A) No	ot guilty (X) nilty () olo contendere ()
If you pleaded guilty to one count or indictment and	d not guilty to another count or indictment, give details:

PART I – TRIAL AND DIRECT REVIEW

Kind of trial: (Check one)	: Jury () Judge only (x	.)
Did you testify at trial?	YES $()$ NO (X)	
Did you appeal from the c	onviction or the sentence imposed? YES (X)	NO ()
(A) If you appealed, give	the	
(1) Name of court:	Appelatte Court	
(2) Result:	Denied	
(3) Date of ruling:	Februrary 9, 1995	<u> </u>
(4) Issues raised:	Time Reduction	
(B) If you did not appeal, Attorney said no iss	explain briefly why not: uses exisited, so he filed for a time	e reduction.
Attorney said no iss		
Attorney said no iss	uses exisited, so he filed for a time	
Attorney said no iss Did you appeal, or seek lea (A) If yes, give the	uses exisited, so he filed for a time uses exisited, so he filed for a time use to appeal, to the highest state court? YES(X	
Attorney said no iss Did you appeal, or seek lea (A) If yes, give the (1) Result:	uses exisited, so he filed for a time we to appeal, to the highest state court? YES(X Affirmed	
Attorney said no iss Did you appeal, or seek lea (A) If yes, give the (1) Result: (2) Date of ruling: (3) Issues raised:	uses exisited, so he filed for a time we to appeal, to the highest state court? YES(X Affirmed ? can not find it. Time reduction) NO ()
Attorney said no iss Did you appeal, or seek lea (A) If yes, give the (1) Result: (2) Date of ruling: (3) Issues raised:	uses exisited, so he filed for a time we to appeal, to the highest state court? YES(X Affirmed ? can not find it.) NO ()

PART II - COLLATERAL PROCEEDINGS

1. With respect to this conviction or sentence, have you filed a post-conviction petition in state court?
YES (X) NO ()
With respect to each post-conviction petition give the following information (use additional sheets if necessary)
A. Name of court: Circuit Court Of Will County
B. Date of filing: November 9, 2001
C. Issues raised: 14th AMENDMENT & 6th Amendment & Illinois Constitutuional
Violation's right to Due Process, Equel Protection and Ineffective Assistance
of Counsel see Exhibit A Post Conviction relief.
D. Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition? YES () NO (x)
E. What was the court's ruling? Denied, untimely & frivous, without merit.
F. Date of court's ruling: December 31, 2001
G. Did you appeal from the ruling on your petition? YES (X) NO ()
H. (a) If yes, (1) what was the result? reversed
(2) date of decision: July 18, 2003
(b) If no, explain briefly why not:
I. Did you appeal, or seek leave to appeal this decision to the highest state court?
YES (x) NO ()
(a) If yes, (1) what was the result? Affirmed
(2) date of decision: November 29, 2007
(b) If no, explain briefly why not:

2. co	With resp nviction p	ect to this conviction or sentence, have you filed a petition in a state court using any other form of post-rocedure, such as <i>coram nobis</i> or habeas corpus? YES () NO (X)
	A. If ye	es, give the following information with respect to each proceeding (use separate sheets if necessary):
	1.	Nature of proceeding
	2.	Date petition filed
	3.	Ruling on the petition
	4.	Date of ruling
	5.	If you appealed, what was the ruling on appeal?
	6.	Date of ruling on appeal
	7.	If there was a further appeal, what was the ruling?
	8.	Date of ruling on appeal
3.	With res	spect to this conviction or sentence, have you filed a previous petition for habeas corpus in federal YES () NO (x)
	A. If yes	s, give name of court, case title and case number:
	B. Did t	he court rule on your petition? If so, state
	(1) F	Ruling:
	(2)	Date:
4.	With respectition?	pect to this conviction or sentence, are there legal proceedings pending in any court, other than this YES () NO (x)
	If yes, exp	olain:

- (A) Ground one 6th &14th Amendment and Illinois Constitutional right to due process and equel protect were violated: When the information by which the petitioner was charged failed to include every element

 "Accountability-aiding & abeting" as one of the count's that petitioner was going to have to defend himself against. Defendant has the right of every acused to prepare for any charges brought against him, here the state failed to do so by not chargeing the element Aiding & Abeting
 "Accountability" as one of the listed charge's.
- (B) Ground two 6th & 14th Amendment right to Effective Assistance of Counsel on Direct Appeal and Illinois Constitutional right to due process and equel protection of the law wre violated: When the Appellated Counsel failed to raise before the Appellate Court Justice, the fact that Residential Burglary could not be predicated offence to support a felony-murder conviction, were in Illinois at the time of trail & conviction 1992 & 1993 residental burglary could not support conviction.
- (C) Ground three 6th & 14th Amendment and Illinois Constitutional right to due process & equel protection wrer violated: When the Illinois Appellate Court recognized plan error in the information & conviction of the petitioner but failed or refused to grant relief, were at the time residentional burglary was not a predicated offence of felony-murder 1992 & 1993.

- (D) Ground four 6th & 14th Amendment and Illinois Constitutional right to due process and equel protection oof the law and fundamental fairness has been violated: When all the element's necessary to commit the offence where not proven beyond a reasonable doubt, that in Illinois 1992 & 1993 to be convicted with an underlying felony, in this case I was charged with residentional burglary which is not an underlying felony for felony-murder conviction, therefore, conviction is for a crime I could not have committed base on the statutory element's required.
- (E) Ground five 6th & 14th Amendment and Illinois Constitutional right to Effective Assistance of Counsel and due process and equel protection on direct appeal wrer violated: When Appellate Counsel failed to raise the fact that the state did not meet it's burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt as to every element needed to convict petitioner, the state did not do so and appellate counsel failed to bring this before the appellate court, Also for the failure to raise all of the issuse's contained in this petition on direct appeal for the petitioner
- (F) Ground six 6th & 14th Amendment and Illinois Constitutional right to due process and equel protection of the law and fundamental fairness has been violated: As a result of the accumulative effect of all the issuse's raised in the body of this petition under CAUSE & PREJUDICE.

(G) Ground Seven: 6th & 14th Amendment and Illinois Constitutional Right to due process and Equal Protection were violated:

When the state refused to supply transcripts of various proceedings "Most importantly Transcripts of confession which ultimately delayed the filing of my Post-Conviction relief, I suffered prejudice where the post was denied as untimely and delay was not due to my culpable negligence, I went the proper channels' first Appellate Counsel from February 1994-to-August 1996 see Exhibit 1,2,3, also from the circuit clerk & court from February 1997 to February 1998 in which I was given copies of the ones the state had all along, but stated they did have such transcript See Exhibit 4

For the reason mentioned in the body of this petition, the petitioner request that counsel be appointed for this petition, and an evidentiary hearing be held for the adjudication of these issues, there has never been one at the state level.
2. Have all grounds raised in this petition been presented to the highest court having jurisdiction? yes() no(x)

3. If you answered "no" to question (2), state <u>breifly</u> what grounds were not so presented and why not: Ground (G) was not raised. I did not know that transcripts were considered at raiseable issues.

PART IV - REPRESENTATION

Give the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attacked herein:
(A) At preliminary hearing DO NOT REMEMBER
(B) At arraignment and plea <u>DO NOT REMEMBER</u>
(C) Attrial MARK A. LYON AND FRED SUDAK
(D) Atsentencing Mark A. Lyon
(E) Onappeal <u>Stephen Omolecki on time reduction</u>
(F) In any post-conviction proceeding <u>Julie Keller</u>
(G) Other(state): Appeal of Post-conviction Patrick M. Carmody
PART V - FUTURE SENTENCE
Do you have any future sentence to serve following the sentence imposed by this conviction?
YES () NO (X)
Name and location of the court which imposed the sentence:
Date and length of sentence to be served in the future
WHEREFORE, petitioner prays that the court grant petitioner all relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding.
Signed on:
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. William In Surpeon of (Signature of pentioner) B 5086 dec

OFFICE OF THE STATE APPELLATE DEFENDER Third Judicial District

Document

ROBERT AGOSTINELLI Deputy Defender

1100 Columbus Street Ottawa, Illinois 61350-2107

Telephone: (815) 434-5531 Fax: (815) 434-2920

February 18, 1994

Mr. William Simpson

No. B50864

Box 711

Menard, Illinois 62259

Dear Mr. Simpson:

I received your recent letter in which you stated that you had not received your statement or exhibits. You also asked for a copy of the police report.

I do not know what you mean by "your statement". You are only entitled to receive a copy of the transcripts of what was said in court. You do not get the exhibits or the police reports. We do not even have the exhibits or the police reports.

Please let me know if you did not receive a copy of the transcripts and please feel free to contact me if you have any other questions.

Very truly yours,

STEPHEN OMOLECKI Assistant Defender

SO:pjr cc:

Exibit 2.



ROBERT AGOSTINELLI Deputy Defender 1100 Columbus Street Ottawa, Illinois 61350-2107

Telephone: (815) 434-5531

Fax: (815) 434-2920

April 27, 1994

Mr. William Simpson B50864 Box 711 Menard, Illinois 62259

Dear Mr. Simpson:

I received your recent letter. I do not have any police reports concerning your case. Police reports are not usually included in the record on appeal. I also do not have any written statements made by you. I have not yet reviewed the record in your case but, as far as I know, typewritten statements were not admitted into evidence against you. Instead, tape recordings of your statements were played during your trial. Those tapes have now been filed in the Appellate Court. As far as I know, the tapes were not transcribed. Thus, I cannot provide you with any typed statements.

I hope that this letter answers your questions. If not, or if you have any other questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

STEPHEN OMOLECKI Assistant Defender

SO:cw

Assistant Defenders



OFFICE OF THE STATE APPELLATE DEFENDER THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ROBERT AGOSTINELLI Deputy Defender

1100 COLUMBUS STREET **OTTAWA, ILLINOIS 61350-2107** August 21, 1996

Telephone: (815) 434-5531

Fax: (815) 434-2920

Mr. William Simpson

No. B50864

Box 711

Menard, Illinois 62259

Dear Mr. Simpson:

I received your recent letter. I no longer have access to the However, I can tell you that the transcripts from your trial. However, I can tell you that the record on appeal did not contain transcriptions of your taped statements because I had to listen to the tapes themselves when I worked on your case. Thus, if the tapes were transcribed, you will have to obtain them from one of the parties that got a copy of Perhaps your trial attorney did not even keep the copies that he had in court when the tapes were played. Did he tell your If not, you could contact the State's parents that he had them. Attorney's office.

I am sorry that I am unable to help you with this matter. you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

STEPHEN OMOLECKI Assistant Defender

SO/wlw CC

County of Will

14 W. JEFFERSON STREET **JOLIET, ILLINOIS 60432**

PAMELA J. McGUIRE CIRCUIT CLERK TWELFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

culticated 1

November 13, 1997

Mr. William Simpson, #B-50864 P. O. Box 711 Menard, IL 62259

RE: 92 CF 876, PEOPLE VS. WILLIAM SIMPSON

Dear Mr. Simpson:

This office is in receipt of your letter dated October 23, 1997 requesting transcripts of the tapes which you state were read in Court during your trial in this case.

You received a copy of all trial transcripts which were filed in this office as a part of the appeal which was filed pursuant to the Court's order on your behalf.

You may wish to contact the lawyer who represented you at your trial or you may also contact the State's Attorney's Office in Will County to inquire as to their knowledge with regard to the transcript.

If you have any questions, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

PAMELA J. McGUIRE

CIRCUIT CLERK

PJM/ljh

cc: Court file 92 CF 876

Pameley Mc Duire N

STATE OF ILLINOIS)	SS		
COUNTY OF WILL	j			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
IN THE CIRCU		T OF THE		I JUDICIAL CIRCUIT DIS
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF Pia	ILLINOIS	5,)	
∀s .			;)	Case No. 92 CF 876
WILLIAM SIMPSON,)	
Defendant.)	

PETITION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF

NOW COMES, the Petitioner, WILLIAM SIMPSON, by and through his attorney, JULIE KELLER, Assistant Public Defender, and respectfully moves this Court pursuant to the Post-Conviction Hearing Act, 725 I.L.C.S. 5/122-1 et. seq. seeking post-conviction relief.

IN SUPPORT THEREOF, THE PETITIONER STATES:

- 1. That the Petitioner was convicted by way of a bench trial for the charges of first degree murder, felony-murder, and residential burglary, before the Honorable Judge Rodney B. Lechwar. On October 28, 1993, the Petitioner was sentenced to a term of 60 years in the Illinois Department of Corrections on the felony murder charge, following a merger of all other counts.
- The Petitioner is now in the custody of the Illinois Department of Corrections, and is being housed at Menard Correctional Center.
- 3. The Petitioner has a documented learning disability, as was alluded to by the trial judge at the sentencing hearing. (See Record of Proceedings 10/28/93, page 5)
- 4. The Petitioner, William Simpson's Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment rights under the Constitution of the United States, to due process of law and a fair trial were violated when the information by which the Petitioner was charged failed to include "accountability" as one of the elements that the Petitioner was going to have to defend himself against.

- The Petitioner, William Simpson's Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment rights under the Constitution of the United States to due process of law and a fair trial were violated when the Prosecutor presented, and the trial judge convicted the Petitioner pursuant to the theory of "accountability", where 720 L.L.C.S. 5/5-3 clearly violates the Constitution of the State of Illinois and the United States Constitution.
- The Petitioner, William Simpson's Sixth Amendment right to effective assistance of counsel on direct appeal, and his Fourteenth Amendment right to due process of law, were violated when Appellate Counsel failed to raise before the Appellate Court the fact that Residential Burglary is not a listed element for a forcible felony, therefore, the burden of proof for "felony-murder" was not met.
- 7. The Petitioner, William Simpson's rights to effective assistance of counsel on direct appeal were violated by appellate counsel's failure to raise all of the issues contained in this petition on direct appeal.
- 8. The Petitioner, William Simpson's Fourteenth Amendment right to due process of law and fundamental fairness was violated as a result of the cumulative effect of all of the issues raised in the body of this petition.
- 9. The Petitioner, William Simpson's Fourteenth Amendment right to due process of law and his Sixth Amendment right to effective assistance of counsel on direct appeal were violated when Appellate Counsel failed to raise the fact that the State did not meet it's burden of proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt.

WHEREFORE, the Petitioner, WILLIAM SIMPSON, prays this Honorable Court grant him post-conviction relief pursuant to the constitutional issues contained herein, and that this Court grant any relief deemed fair and just in the spirit of the law.

Respectfully Submitted, WILLIAM SIMPSON, Defendant

WILLE KELLER

Assistant Public Defender

áli s

JULIE KELLER
Assistant Public Defender
58 East Clinton, Suite 210
Joliet, Illinois 60432
(815) 521-0498
06225310

e a sendincer
Notice
2 OO 6414
2 O S S + 1
到海 二三
->
ത് ക്
2. A. S. C. E.
· V 252 5-

. 2.2.2
35:
200

WARDEN HI	SIMPSON JR Plaintiff, ULICK Defendants.)	No. The Honorable Judge Presiding.
WARDEN HI)	The Honorable
TO:)	·
ro:	Defendants.)	Judge Presiding.
TO:			
	LERK OF THE UNITED STATES		- '
	RISONER CORRESPONDENT, 21 hicago Illinois 60604.	9 South I	Deerborn Street,
Febr	LEASE TAKE HOTICE that uary .S. District Court For ois, the attached Plan	2008	Northern District
copy o	f which is hereby serv	red upor	ı yor.
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		WILLIAM F. SIMPSON JR Register Number B# 50864 Post Office Box 711, Merzrd, Illinois 62259 SERVICE

that I have served copies of the foregoing to the person named above by placing such copies in the U.S. Mailbox at the Menard Correctional Center on the 20 day of February 2008 , 2008 ; postage prepaid. UNDER THE PERALTY OF PERJURY THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.